If you think about Nokia in 2008, they had 45% of the market share against 5% to Apple. Nokia knew about the iPhone and its touchscreen – the key differentiator – one year before its launch. Nokia had greater technology capabilities and the strategy to match the touchscreen. Nevertheless, they failed to launch any product competing at the same level and they disappeared from the market few years later. Research by Professor Quy N. Huy (INSEAD) demonstrates that Nokia missed the execution of a well-thought plan, mostly by mismanaging the collective emotions within the top and the middle management.

Although there is a lot of attention and money spent on the definition of a strategy, most of the challenges come up during the execution, which also takes most of the time and energy.

The success rate of implemented strategy remains poor at about only 30%1. This low percentage is mostly attributed to the difficult and laborious execution phase. A multitude of factors must be managed in detail to make it work, with tough choices for resources allocation to expected high-success levers. In order to manage this complexity, most companies rely on project management and change management techniques, which have become more accessible through training and literature.

Many executives focus exclusively on the very tangible activities, rationally designed and sequenced with deadlines in order to make it happen fast. They tend to suppress the more “messy” part of emotional and political issues, which are discussed in the corridors but seldom in formal meetings. Nevertheless can we really succeed without addressing people’s emotional state when facing radical changes? Is it realistic to suppress fear of uncertainty or anger of letting go the comfort of a routine? The obvious answer is no. Leading change must tackle collective emotions because they can become the biggest barrier to effectively executing a strategy or the greatest lever to make it a success.

Collective emotions are driven by how a large group of people feel about a change that affects them; it can also be called the EMOTIONAL CAPITAL. This dynamic phenomenon happens for example when people share their feelings about a new strategy or the speech of their CEO; the emotion of few spreads and gets reinforced because people perceive some kind of validation if others feel the same. Groups form a powerful coalition when sharing the same feeling for or against a specific change.

This phenomenon is also critical because emotions are driving actions rather than the intellectual understanding of a vision, a strategy or an implementation plan. As illustration, you can think of a football game and how the crowd of supporters behaves when their team marks or takes a goal; this can be quite extreme, no?

Positive emotional capital increases the likelihood of an organization to change and reach high performance.

1 Harvard Business School professor John Kotter claims that 70% of large scale change programs do not meet their goals (Leading Change, 1996); According to McKinsey&Company, this has been confirmed ever since.
The EMOTIONAL TRANSITION is a path to deal with collective emotions. It is the internal psychological reorientation that people have to go through before the change can work. This part cannot be planned, it is a psychological process requiring experimentation and it takes its time. The transition requires that leaders recognize the emotional factor and give space to its expression.

Typically, people will first experience negative emotions such as grief, fear for the unknown, for rivalry or for failing. These will soon coexist with positive emotions such as hope, enthusiasm and relief. Even if the change is intellectually acceptable for the people, it is mostly the emotional transition which sets the pace of change, because these negative emotions trigger resistance.

When facing a large group with their emotions, leaders and managers can become uncomfortable and even anxious with such turmoil. Questions such as “How can I deal with these emotion?”, “Do I have the capabilities to tackle these questions without making it worse?” or “What if I do not have answers to their questions?” can become barriers. As a result, many managers fall in the trap of ignoring this emotional transition, make it mechanical or “sell” the change (i.e. focus on the positive side only).

According to William Bridges, the emotional transition consists of three phases: ending of the past, the “neutral zone” and making the new beginning.

- **The ending of the past** helps to let go and accept the loss. It is characterized by denial, anxiety, anger, bargaining, sadness and even depression.

- **The neutral zone** is where the past is gone but the new isn’t fully present. This unclear and delicate zone could be made of silence, higher anxiety, productivity down, overburdened employees or motivation down. The trap of this confusing zone is to speed up artificially or to draw conclusions that it does not work.

- **The new beginning** holds increased levels of acceptance, cautious optimism, identification with the new and increasing familiarity, but also disappointment.

As you can read, this lens on emotions in business is a complement – and somewhat a challenge – to the classical 8-step approach by John Kotter. Despite all consultants and theories on strategy and change management, the rate of success of change projects does not seem to increase. As organizational transformation advisor, this lens also helps me to better support my clients.

**My assumption is that there is an imperative alignment between emotions and business content to succeed with change initiatives.**

This is precisely my angle when I design and run large scale programs related to a strategic, organizational or cultural change or when I facilitate a difficult decision-process of a leadership team.

LEAD-IN organizes its annual congress on Conscious Leadership to foster experiences such as “I followed my consciousness and it invigorated me and my company”. On 20th April in Brussels, we will be able to interact with top speakers and among a community of young and senior leaders. The full program and registration details are available here. LEAD-IN is a non-profit initiative with the purpose to contribute to a better society through the promotion of better leadership. I personally contribute to the think-tank on new organization models.

I hope that this thought-letter will be useful to you and those around you – please feel free to share it. I’d be happy to have your feedback and discuss your views on the topic.

All my best,

LIONEL FRANKFORT
ORGANIZATION TRANSFORMATION ADVISOR
+32 494 80 55 66 [BRUSSELS-BASED]
LIONEL@LIFRAN.COM WWW.LIFRAN.COM

Emotional intelligence (EQ) facilitates individual adaptation to change and its understanding is a good complement to collective emotions (see above). On this topic, I can recommend the book “The EQ Edge: Emotional Intelligence and Your Success” by Steven Stein and Howard Book (2011). They offer a rich perspective on the personal mastery of emotion and its components. The good news is that unlike IQ (which peaks around the age of 20) EQ evolves with time and can be trained.